Late thoracic endovascular stent graft repair after complicated acute type B dissection

Komplike akut tip B aort diseksiyonundan sonra geç dönem torasik endovasküler stent greft tamiri
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ABSTRACT
Thoracic endovascular stent repair is a life-saving treatment option for patients with complicated type B aortic dissection. In this article, we present a 32-year-old male case referred with ruptured acute type B aortic dissection after injury. Thoracic endovascular stent graft repair was successfully performed 72 hours following the onset of complicated acute type B aortic dissection.
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The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) reported that the mortality rate of patients with acute type B dissection treated medically or surgically was 10.7% and 31.4%, respectively.[1] Due to the high mortality rates of surgical treatment and after first described in 1997, the use of thoracic endografting for the treatment of complicated acute type B dissections has gained popularity.[2] Of 216 thoracic endovascular aortic aneurysm repairs performed in patients with acute complicated type B dissection, 30-day in-hospital mortality was found to be 21%.[2] Steuer et al.[3] reported that the long-term outcome varied depending on the severity of the concomitant injuries. Thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) is durable during the first 10 years after the surgical procedure; however, still long-term follow-up is needed to show the impact of TEVAR on the degenerative changes in the aging aorta in young individuals.

Herein, we present a case who had an aortic injury due to falling from a height and in whom successful TEVAR was performed.

CASE REPORT
A 32-year-old male patient was admitted to hospital with stable clinical condition 72 hours after falling from a height. The patient was initially transferred to the village state hospital and monitored with the medical treatment for three days. When the clinic status of the patient became worse, he was transferred to the university hospital. The patient was oriented and blood pressure was 130/80 mmHg on admission.
in the emergency service. Physical examination showed palpable femoral pulses. Thoracic computed tomography (CT) demonstrated acute type B aortic dissection in the descending thoracic aorta above the superior mesenteric artery. Dissection was limited only within a part of the thoracic descending aorta. In addition, he had a periaortic hematoma around the arcus aorta and had limited rupture where the dissection originated. The false lumen was totally thrombosed and seen only in the thoracic aorta and discontinued in the abdominal aorta. The true lumen was partially occluded by the false lumen in the thoracic aorta (Figure 1). General anesthesia was used to maintain hemodynamic stability. He was already monitored with radial artery cannulation to check blood pressure and with internal jugular vein cannulation to give immediately intravenous fluids and blood products in the emergency setting. The right femoral artery was prepared for access. Unfortunately, the right brachial artery was chosen to insert the 5F sheath due to the previous injury to the left arm.

The operation was performed under general anesthesia in the cardiology angiography room. Before endovascular treatment, angiography was performed beyond the left subclavian artery (Figure 2). A 20 mm thoracic stent graft
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(Talent, Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, USA) was successfully deployed beyond the left subclavian artery in the true lumen (Figure 3). After deployment, angiography was performed to confirm the successful stenting and examine possibility of endoleaks. The patient was discharged on the fifth day after the procedure without any complication. Postoperative CT angiography at one month showed a successful procedure without endoleak.

**DISCUSSION**

Although optimal surgical management of patients with acute type B aortic dissection remained controversial with respect to both techniques of intervention (i.e., open surgery or endovascular procedure) and the timing of surgical intervention, some authors advocated early operation irrespective of the presence or absence of complications. Bortone et al., one of the defender of immediate intervention within two weeks of the initial diagnosis, reported that a stent-graft placement was successful in all the patients referred for intervention within the first two weeks among patients with acute type B aortic dissection. Chen et al. reported that the technical success was 100% and the 30-mortality was 4.4% (1/23) in patients with acute type B aortic dissection undergoing TEVAR (23/62 patients) at presentation or within two weeks. This concept can be supported by the idea of the greater potential for stent-graft induced complete remodelling of the entire aorta in patients with acute aortic dissection. On the other hand, some authors suggest that initially fragile dissecting membrane changing to a more fibrotic and stable membrane in the chronic phase are critical for endovascular repair after a minimum period of four weeks after the onset of aortic dissection. This hypothesis was also confirmed by the improved survival rates following endovascular repair in a more stable clinical status in the chronic phase of aortic dissection.

Furthermore, there is no available data with respect to timing of endovascular treatment to date, due to the lack of prospective randomized studies comparing immediate or delayed intervention in patients with acute type B dissection undergoing TEVAR. In their study, Chou et al. divided the patients into two groups: in group A, the patients with an emergent condition of shock and aortic rupture died of a new rupture two days postoperatively, while in group B, the patients defined with rupture died even after the stent graft was successfully deployed. Overall postoperative mortality was reported as high as 60% for the patients with aortic rupture in this study. In our clinical experience, immediate TEVAR should be reserved for complicated cases of acute descending aortic dissection.

On the other hand, there are similar controversies on surgical intervention: should it be preceded or followed by the treatment of associated traumatic lesions? Immediate surgery has a high mortality and morbidity up to 40%. In some series, the intraoperative mortality and postoperative mortality were found to be 10.2% and 18.4% with major

**Figure 3.** Deployed stent graft in the descending thoracic aorta.
Due to a very high risk for surgery, the previous concept of immediate surgery was replaced with a new concept of delayed surgery due to coexisting injuries. In the light of these data, we read the Bologna’s strategy in case of traumatic aortic ruptures with a great interest. Moreover, we have currently gained a better understanding of the timing of the repair in traumatic aortic ruptures.

In conclusion, we believe that thoracic endovascular treatment is an effective treatment modality even after the hours of the onset of complicated acute type B dissection and endovascular repair of the aortic injury in such cases is a reasonable first-line treatment option.
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