Migrating bullet from thorax to retroperitoneum
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ABSTRACT
Bullet injuries to the thorax are observed frequently. When the radiographic location of the bullet does not correlate with the expected trajectory, a possible bullet embolism should be considered. In this article, we present a 39-year-old male patient who suffered a gunshot to his back. Patient’s hemopneumothorax was treated with tube thoracostomy; however, the bullet was found to have migrated to the retroperitoneum without an overt mediastinal, diaphragmatic, or abdominal visceral organ injury. Angiography demonstrated no vascular embolism. The exact mechanism for the bullet to reach to the retroperitoneum was unknown, but a possible presence of a Bochdalek’s triangle was suspected.
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Bullet injuries to the chest are a frequent problem, but the majority can be managed nonoperatively. The bullet trajectory is important to speculate on possible organ injuries, and determine the treatment strategy. When the radiographic location of the bullet does not correlate with the expected trajectory, a possible migration to a distant part of the body must be considered.1 In this article, we report a bullet migration to the retroperitoneum in a patient who suffered a gunshot injury to his chest.

CASE REPORT
An alert and hemodynamically stable 39-year-old male patient presented with a gunshot to the back. Patient’s vital signs were normal. He mentioned that he ran after the attacker for fifty meters, and then fell down since he became breathless. Physical examination revealed an entrance wound over the right scapula, and no exit wound was evident. The chest radiography was unremarkable, but a computed tomography (CT) of the chest with contrast demonstrated a right hemopneumothorax, a fractured right scapula and third rib, and a possible bullet trajectory at the right upper lobe (Figure 1). There was no sign or suspicion for a vascular, mediastinal, or diaphragmatic injury, but the bullet was not visible. The patient had chest tube which revealed a minimal air leak and a hemorrhagic drainage of less than 600 mL.
To detect the bullet, radiography of whole body was obtained. Since there was a bullet-like opacity in the pelvic radiography, an abdominopelvic CT with contrast was taken which demonstrated the bullet next to the bladder, close to the internal iliac vascular bundle (Figure 2). There was no evidence of diaphragmatic, or intraabdominal/pelvic organ injury, and no fluid or free-air in either abdomen or pelvis. Venography and arteriography revealed that the bullet was not located inside any vascular structure (Figure 3). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Since the bullet did not cause any pain, motor function defect of leg, or infection, and there was no injury to the organs neighboring the bullet, no attempt was made to take it out. The recovery of the patient was uneventful. The chest tube was taken and the patient was discharged on the third day. However, the patient was lost to follow-up after a month.

DISCUSSION

Embolism is the migration of a solid, liquid, or gaseous substance from its point of origin to a distant site. First reported by Thomas Davis in 1834, bullet emboli are rare phenomena with an incidence of 0.3%. \[2\] In case of penetrating injury, the bullet loses its kinetic energy while travelling along its trajectory, and remains within the domains of the body cavity. An embolism occurs when a bullet penetrates the body, its movement is stalled, and then it is carried away from its initial site of lodgement to a distant location. The flow of blood, air pressure, or active or passive body movements may create an embolism. \[2\]

In our case, we firstly considered a vascular embolism since the bullet was located nearby internal iliac vessels; however, arteriography and venography ruled out this possibility. It is unclear how the bullet reached the retroperitoneal space. Several theories may give a possible explanation for such a migration. First, the bullet may reach the retroperitoneum by directly penetrating the diaphragm. But the chest and abdominopelvic CT demonstrated no evidence of diaphragmatic, intraabdominal or pelvic injury. Another theory is based on a study which demonstrated that there existed several pathways between the mediastinum and the retroperitoneal space across the esophageal hiatus, and via the inferior vena caval hiatus. \[3\] But the CT of the patient demonstrated neither mediastinal injury nor suspicion for the bullet to travel within the mediastinum. A final theory is based on a cadaver study which identified a diaphragm-free

Figure 1. Computed tomography of chest. (a) Right hemopneumothorax, (b) fracture at third rib, (c) scapular fracture, (d) possible trajectory of bullet at upper lobe.
triangular area named Bochdalek’s triangle in 90.1% of the cadavers, and proposed that this triangle comprising of 622.8 mm² may allow communication between the pleural cavity and the retroperitoneal space. Habal et al. reported a case in which a titanium rod, used for stabilization of fracture of the first lumbar vertebrae, migrated into the left pleural space. In the thoracoscopy, the authors found the rod lying in posterior costophrenic angle without any evidence of diaphragmatic injury. It is possible that the rod migrated using the pathway described above.

We think that the bullet migration to the retroperitoneum in our case may be explained by the third mechanism. Possibly, the scapula and third rib caused the bullet to lose its kinetic energy and slow down. Then, after penetrating the upper lobe, the bullet stayed in the right hemithorax, and then went deeper close to the diaphragmatic crura with the gravity effect. Finally, the physical effort the patient made while running after the attacker pushed the bullet to the retoperitoneum via a possible Bochdalek’s triangle.

There are controversies about bullet removal indications. Based on a systematic review conducted by Riehl et al., in case of asymptomatic patients, it is common to accept bullets as inert harmless metallic bodies and avoid bullet removal. Meanwhile, an asymptomatic bullet should be followed for a while, because as reported by Yenigün et al., a retained bullet in the lung may cause bronchiectasis even after more than 20 years. The bullet in our patient caused no vascular or intrapelvic/abdominal organ injury, no infection or pain, thus we did not attempt to remove it.

Bullet embolization after penetrating trauma is rare. If the number of entry wounds does not equal to that of exit wounds, or the clinical signs or symptoms and radiologic imaging do not correlate with the injury. For this reason, the possibility of
bullet embolism should be considered. The removal of the bullet should be avoided in asymptomatic cases, in cases with no suspicion of visceral injury or infection, and if the bullet causes no pain or difficulty in body motion.
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